



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,477	12/30/2003	Kay L. Grasso	CRNI.107715	7079
46169	7590	08/17/2009	EXAMINER	
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. Intellectual Property Department 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613			SQUIRES, ELIZA A	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3626		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		08/17/2009		PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/748,477	GRASSO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Eliza Squires	3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eliza Squires. (3) Ashley Lindsey.  
 (2) Tran ("Ken") Nguyen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,26 and 46.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 6,985,876 to Martucci et al see figures 15 (j) and (k).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant submitted a proposed Amendment. Discussed 101 rejections and provided suggestions for correcting the remaining deficiency in claim 46. Examiner presented further suggestions for claims 1 and 26 to bring claims to compliance with 112 2<sup>nd</sup>. Suggested that while the amendment may overcome the 102 rejection previously presented, Applicant should consider the above cited prior art when Amending the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/E. S./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3626